

Minute - m10-04-05

ACADEMIC SENATE MEETING MINUTES for OCTOBER 4, 2005

**CALLED TO ORDER:
2:15 p.m.**

Angelo,
Albert

Heiman,
Bruce

Steier,
Saul

Avani,
Nathan

Irvine,
Patricia

Stowers,
Genie

Axler,
Sheldon

Kim,
John

Suzuki,
Dean

Bartscher,
Patricia

Kohn,
Jim

Todorov,
Jassen

Bollapragada,
Ramesh

Klingenberg,
Larry

Ulasewicz,
Connie

Boyle,
Andrea

Langbort,
Carol

Van
Cleave, Kendra

Chao,
David

Levine,
Josh

Van
Dam, Mary Ann

Chelberg,
Gene

Li,
Wen-Chao

Velez,
Pauline

Colvin,
Caran

Linder,
Martin

Williams,
Robert

Contreras,
A. Reynaldo

Liou,
Shy-Shenq

Whalen,
Shawn

Corrigan,
Robert

Minami,
Masahiko

Yang,
Nini

Daley,
Yvonne

Morishita,
Leroy

Yee,
Darlene

Danner,
Don

Nichols,
Amy

Fielden,
Ned

Pong,
Wenshen

Gemello,
John

Ritter,
Michael

Gonzalez
Marty

Saffold,
Penny

Gregory,
Jan

Smith,
Alastair

Absences:

Bernard-Powers,
Jane (abs); Enssani, Elahi (exc); Gerson, Deborah (abs); Chattopadhyay,

Sudip
(abs); Fehrman, Kenneth (exc); Foreman, Kim (exc); Fung, Robert (exc);
Gonzalez, Dan (exc);

Jackson
Chris (abs); King, Philip (exc); Levy, Eileen (exc); Meredith, David (exc);
White, Lisa (abs);

Zwillinger,
Lesley (abs)

Guests: Lee Blich, Georgiana
Esquivias, Richard Giardina, Helen Goldsmith, Ann Hallum, Nancy Hayes,

Sung
Hu, Aimee Barnes, Linda Ellis, Robert Cherny, Susan Shimanoff, Craig
Smith
(Faculty Trustee), Bob

Spina,
Don

Taylor,
Linda Wanek, Gail Whitaker

ANNOUNCEMENTS

Chair Colvin
announced:

.
A joint meeting of the
CSU Academic Senate Chairs and Campus Provosts will be held on October 5th

.
Distribution of a memo
regarding GE policy revision to Senators

.
The availability of Resident
Director opportunities For more information contact the OIP

.
The Writing Taskforce
report online and available for review and comment by October 14, 2005

AGENDA ITEM

1-APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA FOR October 4, 2005

Approved by general
consent.

AGENDA ITEM

2-APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES FOR THE MEETING ON September 20, 2005

Approved by general
consent.

AGENDA ITEM

**3-REPORT FROM YENBO WU and JENNIFER WISSINK, OFFICE OF INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMS:
INTERNATIONAL
EDUCATION WEEK**

Chair Colvin
introduced Yenbo Wu and Jennifer Wissink from the Office of International
Programs (OIP) who reported on SFSU's observance of International Education
Week, November 14-18. Senators are invited to submit event proposals.
Proposal forms are available online at the OIP Web site. Proposals will be
reviewed starting October 7.

AGENDA ITEM

4-CSU FACULTY TRUSTEE CRAIG SMITH

Chair Colvin
introduced CSU Faculty Trustee Smith, welcoming him to the Senate. Faculty
Trustee Smith addressed the body on a variety of issues before the CSU Board of
Trustees.

AGENDA ITEM

5-RECOMMENDATION FROM THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE-

RESOLUTION

COMMENDING THE AGREEMENT ON A GSI (GENERAL SALARY INCREASE)—1st and 2nd

Readings

Gregory, Velez m/s/p

Senator Gregory
introduced the resolution. The resolution was passed without dissent.

AGENDA ITEM

**6-RECOMMENDATION FROM THE CURRICULUM REVIEW and APPROVAL COMMITTEE-PROPOSED
MINOR IN MECHANICAL ENGINEERING—1st and 2nd Readings**

Nichols, Ritter m/s/p

CRAC Chair Nichols provided
an overview of the four proposed minors in engineering. She also introduced Director
Shy-Shenq Liou and Associate Dean Sung Hu to comment and take questions on the
proposals.

Senator Pong moved
the proposal to second reading. The motion was seconded by Senator Gregory.
The proposal moved to second reading without objection.

Senator Gregory moved to close debate. Senator Yee seconded the motion. Debate was closed without objection.

The proposal was approved without dissent.

AGENDA ITEM 7-RECOMMENDATION FROM THE CURRICULUM REVIEW and APPROVAL COMMITTEE-PROPOSED MINOR IN ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING—1st and 2nd Readings

Nichols, Velez m/s/p

CRAC Chair Nichols introduced the proposal. Senators Kohn and Gregory pointed out a few minor errors in the proposal. Chair Colvin indicated that the corrections would be made.

Senator Pong moved to close debate. Senator Daley seconded the motion. Debate was closed without objection.

The proposal was approved without dissent.

AGENDA ITEM 8-RECOMMENDATION FROM THE CURRICULUM REVIEW and APPROVAL COMMITTEE-PROPOSED MINOR IN CIVIL ENGINEERING—1st and 2nd Readings

Nichols, Velez m/s/p

CRAC Chair Nichols introduced the proposal.

The proposal was approved without dissent.

AGENDA ITEM 9-RECOMMENDATION FROM THE CURRICULUM REVIEW and APPROVAL COMMITTEE-PROPOSED MINOR IN COMPUTER ENGINEERING—1st and 2nd Readings

Nichols, Steier m/s/p

CRAC Chair Nichols introduced the proposal. Senator Axler noted the addition of two new faculty that could be added to the list of faculty in the proposal.

The proposal was approved without dissent.

AGENDA ITEM 10-RECOMMENDATION FROM THE ACADEMIC PROGRAM REVIEW COMMITTEE-PROPOSED GUIDELINES FOR THE 6th CYCLE OF ACADEMIC PROGRAM REVIEW—1st READING

Stowers, Steier m/s

Chair Colvin suggested a structure to guide the discussion.

APRC Chair Stowers introduced the recommendation.

Following is a summary of the issues discussed:

Section 1 - Section C does not seem to include the language regarding "special circumstances"; the meaning of differential funding needs to be clarified; graduate faculty, how are they identified and rewarded; who determines the funding formula; how does the focus on graduate studies impact the proposed focus on the teaching of writing; concern about balancing the helping role and the policing role of the APRC; why graduate studies-- previous review cycles short changed graduate studies, most of the programs

considered for discontinuance were graduate programs, and WASC review panel only wanted to discuss graduate studies in their final meeting with SFSU.

Section 2 - The University Research Council asked about the amount of attention paid to research in the proposed guidelines; The significant interconnectedness of research and graduate studies was noted; need to have language focus on the program head; desire to include student and alumni input in the review process; need to define research broadly—professional development and or creative activities; concern about the guidelines recognizing service/practice oriented programs; the need for the Academic Senate to play more of a monitoring role in regards to the external review process

Section 3 - Page 5, lines 1 and 2: delete the word appended; concern was expressed on the level of expectations by external accrediting bodies with regard to faculty workload; what happens if/when a program loses accreditation.

Section 4 - No comments from the floor.

Section 5 - It was clarified that a manual will be drafted by Academic Affairs in consultation with APRC.

AGENDA ITEM

11-ADJOURNED—4:30 p.m.

BACK

HOME

SFSU

Meeting Date (Archive): Tuesday, October 4,
2005
